

## Two helpful ideas for users of MODFLOW

**A. SPALVINS, J. SLANGENS, R. JANBICKIS & I. LACE**

*Environment Modelling Centre, Riga Technical University, 1/4 Meza Street, Riga, LV-1048, Latvia*

e-mail: emc@egle.cs.rtu.lv

**Abstract** Two validated ideas are proposed for using in MODFLOW. The first idea proposes to apply an elevation map of a ground surface with water bodies (rivers, lakes, etc) included as the piezometric boundary condition on the top of 3-D hydrogeological model (HM). In such a regime HM automatically computes an infiltration flow distribution. The second idea offers to use a shell of HM as an interpolator for computing boundary conditions when the shell intersects with areas of hydrogeological windows.

**Key words** hydrogeological models; boundary conditions, infiltration flow

### INTRODUCTION

To describe our results, the mathematics of semi-3-D steady state HM, describing mean annual conditions, must be introduced. The  $xyz$ -grid of HM is built using  $(h \times h \times m)$ -sized blocks ( $h$  is the block plane size;  $m$  is a variable block height). The blocks constitute a rectangular  $xy$ -layer system. Its four vertical sides compose the shell of HM. The ground surface  $\psi_{rel}$  and the lower side of the model are its geometrical top and bottom, accordingly. In HM, the vector  $\varphi$  of the piezometric head is approximated, in nodes of the 3-D grid of HM, by the following algebraic equation system:

$$A \varphi = b, \quad A = A_{xy} + A_z - G, \quad \beta = \beta_{in} + \beta_{bot} + \beta_{sh} + \beta_w, \quad \beta_{\psi} = G (\psi - \varphi) \quad (1)$$

where the matrices  $A_{xy}$ ,  $A_z$ ,  $G$  represent, correspondingly, the horizontal links (arranged in  $xy$ -planes) of aquifers, the vertical ties originated by aquitards, the elements connecting nodes of the grid with the piezometric boundary conditions  $\psi$ , the vector  $\beta$  accounts for boundary flows:  $\beta_w$  is the water production rate in wells;  $\beta_{in}$ ,  $\beta_{bot}$  and  $\beta_{sh}$  are the boundary surface flows, which may be specified on the top, bottom, and shell areas of HM, respectively;  $\beta_{\psi}$  is the computed flow passing through elements of  $G$ .

The flows  $\beta_{in}$ ,  $\beta_{bot}$  and  $\beta_{sh}$  can hardly be obtained from field data. By using  $\psi_{rel}$ ,  $\psi_{bot}$ ,  $\psi_{sh}$ , respectively, all three flows can be changed for the more exact ones of the  $\beta_{\psi}$ -type (Spalvins *et al.*, 2000). This paper explains how  $\beta_{in} \rightarrow \beta_{\psi in}$  is performed for the infiltration flow  $\beta_{in}$ , which dominates (1) in regional HM. It is also shown how the shell of HM can be used as an interpolator for the boundary conditions  $\psi_{sh}$ . Both methods are helpful for users of the MODFLOW system.

### MODELLING OF INFILTRATION

Customary, the infiltration flow is applied on the top surface of fine local scale HM, as an independent constant  $\beta_{in}$ , for recharge areas of the first unconfined quaternary aquifer  $q$ . Unfortunately, this simple method fails when crude regional HM for large territories should be formed:

- $\beta_{in}$  should be variable both for recharge and discharge areas, because the surface

elevations  $\psi_{rel}$  and ascending flows also vary, respectively;

- for recharge areas, even small errors of  $\beta_{in}(x,y)$  may result in dramatic failures of the computed groundwater table  $\varphi_q$ , as part of  $\varphi$  for (1).

The stability problem caused by  $\beta_{in}$  can be revealed by considering the ratio  $\beta_{in} / \beta_q$  ( $\beta_q$  - lateral flow) as a function of  $h$  for a grid block ( $h \times h \times h_q$ ). To estimate the ratio, some typical parameters may be used:  $\beta_{in} = h^2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3 \text{ day}^{-1}$ ,  $\beta_q = h h_q k_q I_q$  where  $h_q = 10 \text{ m}$ ,  $k_q = 10 \text{ m day}^{-1}$  and  $I_q = 0.005$  are the thickness, permeability and hydraulic gradient of the  $q$ -block, respectively. Then  $\beta_q = h \times 10 \times 10 \times 0.005 = 0.5 h$  and  $\beta_{in} / \beta_q = 2 h \times 10^{-3}$ . For regional HM,  $h = 500 \text{ m} - 5000 \text{ m}$  and  $\beta_{in} / \beta_q = 1 - 10$ , correspondingly. Because  $\beta_{in} \geq \beta_q$ , results of HM depends mostly on  $\beta_{in}$ .

The team of the Environment Modelling Centre (EMC) of the Riga Technical University had met with the problem caused by  $\beta_{in}$  and solved it when regional HM for the central part of Latvia was created (Spalvins *et al.*, 1995).

For discharge areas caused mostly by rivers and lakes, the customary method was used for settling discharge flows  $\beta_{\psi in}$ , as part of (1):

$$\beta_{\psi in} = G_{aer} (\psi_{rel} - \varphi_q), \quad g_{aer} = h^2 k_{aer} / h_{aer} \quad (2)$$

where  $G_{aer}$  (submatrix of  $G$ ) contained conductances  $g_{aer}$  of river and (or) lake beds representing the saturated aeration zone. These conductances were vertical ties connecting the grid nodes of  $\varphi_q$  and  $\psi_{rel}$  planes of HM.

To prevent the instability caused by  $\beta_{in}$ , the EMC team applied (2) for the whole top surface of HM. Then, for recharge areas,  $g_{aer}$  supported descending  $\beta_{\psi in}$ . This idea was mentioned by Bear (1979), but not applied for modern HM. Formerly,  $g_{aer}$  was used for modelling infiltration on analog models (Luckner & Schestakow, 1976).

If  $\psi_{rel}$  is used as a boundary condition then no instability due to infiltration arises, because, unlike  $\beta_{in}$ ,  $\beta_{\psi in}$  given by (2) is a dependent parameter. The above innovation has provided the following useful results if humid territories are considered:

- boundaries between the recharge and discharge areas ( $\beta_{\psi in} = 0$ ) may be obtained; they appear even for a steep hillside where groundwater usually seeps out from its footing;
- for recharge areas,  $\varphi_q$  roughly follows  $\psi_{rel} > \varphi_q$ ;
- like observed in nature, maximal recharge values of  $\beta_{\psi in}$  appear for heights of the ground surface;
- if a groundwater withdrawal causes lowering of  $\varphi_q$  then  $\beta_{\psi in}$  increases there.

None of the above features are reachable automatically if infiltration is modelled by  $\beta_{in}$  as an independent flow.

Thicknesses  $h_{aer}$  and  $m_q$  of the aquifer  $q$  and the zone  $aer$  are, as follows:

$$m_q = h_{aer} + h_q, \quad h_{aer} = \delta = \psi_{rel} - \varphi_q, \quad \text{if } \delta \geq 0, \quad h_{aer} = \Delta_{aer} > 0 \quad \text{if } \delta < 0 \quad (3)$$

where  $\Delta_{aer}$  is the thickness of the discharge area. The real values of  $h_{aer} = \Delta_{aer}$  and  $k_{aer}$  are difficult to obtain even from field data. For this reason, one may apply conditionally small  $\Delta_{aer} = \Delta = \text{const}$  and to adjust values  $g_{aer} = h^2 k_{aer} / \Delta$  by altering  $k_{aer}$ . As calibration targets for  $g_{aer}$ , discharge flows  $\beta_{\psi in}$  of (2) should be used. For the sake of simplicity, it is assumed here that the aquifer  $q$  does not get dewatered. For real cases, not only the aquifer  $q$ , but also lower neighboring layers can be part of  $h_{aer}$ . This difficulty occurred when regional HM for the Noginsk region, Russia was formed (Spalvins, 2002).

Initially, the distribution  $h_{aer}$  for the recharge areas and location of their

borderlines are unknown. Fortunately, some data about a mean thickness  $h_{aer\ m}$  of the zone *aer* may be available. Then, as an initial crude assumption, one can fix  $g_{aer}^{(0)} = h^2 k_{aer\ m} / h_{aer\ m} = \text{const}$ , for all nodes of the recharge areas ( $k_{aer\ m}$  - the mean value of  $k_{aer}$ ). From the above numerical example,  $k_{aer\ m} = 10^{-3} \text{ m day}^{-1}$ .

To simplify iterative calibration of  $(h_q, h_{aer})$  and  $\beta_{\psi in}$ , in the MODFLOW environment, the EMC team uses, as the first guess,  $h_{aer} = \Delta = \Delta_{aer} = 0.02 \text{ m}$  elsewhere on the top surface of HM. A fictitious extra aquifer *rel* of the thickness  $\Delta$  should be introduced to apply the surface  $\psi_{rel}$ , as the boundary condition (any  $k_{rel} > 0$  may be used here). The value of  $\Delta = 0.02 \text{ m}$  has been chosen arbitrary. It must be small enough not to disturb the HM geometry and to provide automatically proper values of elements for  $A_{xy}$  and  $A_z$  when some layers, included in HM, are discontinuous ( $m = 0$ ).

To prevent triggering of MODFLOW automatics for unconfined and discontinuous layers, all aquifers of HM must be used as confined. The aeration zone *aer* should be treated as a formal aquitard.

The initial permeability base map  $k_a^{(0)}$  of the zone *aer* contains the following distinct mean values:  $k_a^{(0)} = 10^{-3}$  and 1.0, respectively, for the expected recharge areas and for lines (or areas) of the hydrographical network. This map is used to compute initial values of  $g_{aer}^{(0)}$ :

$$g_{aer}^{(0)} = h^2 k_{aer}^{(0)} / \Delta, \quad k_{aer}^{(0)} = c_{aer} k_a^{(0)}, \quad c_{aer} = \Delta / h_{aer\ m} \quad (4)$$

where  $c_{aer}$  accounts for  $h_{aer\ m} \rightarrow \Delta$ . If  $\Delta = 0.02 \text{ m}$  and  $h_{aer\ m} = 2.0 \text{ m}$  then  $c_{aer} = 10^{-2}$ .

For the transmissivity of the aquifer *q*, the initial values  $a_q^{(0)}$  are as follows:

$$a_q^{(0)} = k_q^{(0)} m_q, \quad k_q^{(0)} = c_q^{(0)} k_q, \quad c_q^{(0)} = (m_q - \Delta) / m_q \sim 1.0. \quad (5)$$

When  $g_{aer}^{(0)}$  and  $a_q^{(0)}$  have been applied, the values of  $\varphi_q^{(0)}$  can be obtained. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{aer}^{(1)} = \delta^{(1)} = \psi_{rel} - \varphi_q^{(0)}, & \quad \text{if } \delta^{(1)} \geq \Delta; \quad h_{aer}^{(1)} = \Delta, \quad \text{if } \delta^{(1)} < 0; \\ a_q^{(1)} = k_q^{(1)} m_q, & \quad k_q^{(1)} = c_q^{(1)} k_q, \quad c_q^{(1)} = (m_q - h_{aer}^{(1)}) / m_q. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

By using (6), values of  $h_{aer}^{(1)}$  can be obtained and the improved map of  $k_q^{(1)}$  prepared. Available estimates of  $\beta_{in}$  and  $h_{aer}$  must be used as targets for calibration, performed in accordance with (4), (5), (6). Only few iterations are needed to achieve acceptable results for recharge areas. The fictitious thicknesses  $h_{aer} = \Delta$ ,  $h_q = m_q$  may be kept until the final  $\varphi_q^{(t)}$  is obtained. During iterations  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , only  $k_{aer}^{(i)}$  and  $k_q^{(i)}$  vary. If necessary, the real geometry  $h_{aer}$ ,  $h_q = m_q - h_{aer}$  and the permeabilities  $k_{aer}$ ,  $k_q$  can be introduced. Then  $k_{aer} = k_{aer}^{(i)} h_{aer} / \Delta$  should be applied.

For the recharge areas, the above algorithm is based on the assumption:  $g_{aer} = \text{const}$ . Necessary deviations from this rule should be formed on the map  $k_a^{(i)}$ . The following more universal algorithm (Spalvins, 2002) has been applied, to simplify iterative adjustment of  $k_{aer}^{(i)} = c_{aer}^{(i)} k_a^{(i)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} c_{aer}^{(i)} = c_{aer}^{1-u} (\Delta / h_{aer}^{(i)})^u, & \quad \text{if } h_{aer}^{(i)} > h_{aer\ m}, \\ c_{aer}^{(i)} = c_{aer}, & \quad \text{if } h_{aer}^{(i)} \leq h_{aer\ m} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the parametre  $h_{aer\ m}$  not only presents a real feature of the zone *aer*, but it also may serve as a formal factor to control the algorithm of (7); the power  $u$  ( $1 \geq u \geq 0$ ) is used to vary  $k_{aer}^{(i)}$  for recharge areas. The value  $u = 0$  represents the considered above initial choice:  $c_{aer} = \text{const} \rightarrow g_{aer} = \text{const}$ . If  $u = 1$  then  $\beta_{\psi in} = \text{const}$  where  $h_{aer} > h_{aer\ m}$ .

The area of constant  $\beta_{\psi in}$  may be enlarged if a small value of  $h_{aer m}$  is applied. This version describes the other extremity of the recharge model. Theoretically, the right distribution of  $\beta_{\psi in}$ , for the recharge areas, should be sited somewhere between the ones, provided by the values  $u = 0$  or  $1$ , respectively. It has been found experimentally that  $c = 0.75$  is a good choice for most of practical cases (Spalvins, 2002).

## BOUNDARY SHELLS AS INTERPOLATORS

Special problems arise when a vertical hydraulic gradient between interlinked layers becomes very small. It happens within hydrogeological windows ( $m = 0$ ) i.e. discontinuous aquitards where elements  $a_z$  of  $A_z$  are very large (theoretically,  $a_z \rightarrow \infty$  if  $m = 0$ ). The EMC team uses  $\Delta = 0.02$  m instead of  $m = 0$  and then, within the body of HM, solution  $\varphi$  can be found even in complex cases (Spalvins *et al.*, 1995), when non-existent fragments of aquitards are part of a multi-tiered system where aquifers may be also absent ( $a_{xy} = 0$  of  $A_{xy}$ ).

If on the shell of HM the condition  $\psi_{sh}$  is used and the shell intersects with the non-existent layers then, due to smallness of the vertical hydraulic gradient there, no modeller can settle  $\psi_{sh}$  on such intersections. The missing parts of  $\psi_{sh}$  can be obtained automatically if the shell acts as an interpolator. The elements  $(g_{xy}, g_z)_{sh}$ , as part of  $G$ , represent all features of geological strata intersected by the shell. To convert the shell into the interpolator, a multiplier constant  $u_{sh} = 10^3 - 10^5$  is introduced. It enlarges artificially the values  $(g_{xy}, g_z)_{sh}$  of the links connecting nodes of the shell. The converted shell then interpolates missing values of  $\varphi_{sh}$ , as part of the solution  $\varphi$ , at nodes where no initial boundary  $\psi$ -condition is fixed (Spalvins, 2002).

The converted shell enables the creation of HM of considerable complexity. This useful approach can be used in all kinds of modelling programs, MODFLOW included.

## CONCLUSIONS

Helpful ideas for users of MODFLOW have been developed by the EMC team:

- infiltration flows for recharge areas of HM can be obtained automatically if the ground surface elevation map is applied as the piezometric boundary condition; this method is tested for humid territories.
- the shell of HM may be changed into an interpolator providing missing parts of boundary conditions where the shell crosses with discontinuous geological layers.

## REFERENCES

- Bear, J. (1979) *Hydraulics of Groundwater*. Mc. Graw-Hill Inc.
- Luckner, L. & Schestakow, W. (1976) *Simulation der Geofiltration*. VEB Deutscher Verlag für Grundstoffindustrie, Leipzig.
- Spalvins, A., Slangens, J., Janbickis, R., Lace, I., Viksne, Z., Atruskievics, J., Levina, N. & Tolstovs I. (1995) Development of regional hydrogeological model "Large Riga". *Proc. of Intern. Seminar on "Environment Modelling"*, (1), *Boundary Field Problems and Computers* **36**, 201-216.
- Spalvins, A., Slangens, J., Janbickis, R., Lace, I. & Gosk, E. (2000) Methods for Improving Verity of Groundwater Modelling. *Proc. of 16th IMACS World Congress 2000, Lausanne, Switzerland, 21-25 August 2000*, 6 pages on CD-ROM, ISBN 3-9522075-1-9.
- Spalvins, A. (2002) Modelling as a powerful tool for predicting hydrogeological change in urban and industrial areas. In: *Current problems of hydrogeology in urban areas. Urban agglomerates and industrial centres* (ed. by K. W. F. Howard & R. G. Israfilov), 57-75, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands.